

Erasmus+ Virtual Exchanges InfoDay – 15 June, 2022

The Selection Process: Eligibility, Selection & Award Criteria

Róisín Mc Cabe

European Education & Culture Executive Agency





In this presentation

- 1. Statistics from the 2021 Call
- 2. Key considerations
- 3. Eligibility criteria
- 4. Exclusion criteria
- 5. Selection criteria
- 6. Award criteria
- 7. Application forms: A, B & C





Statistics from the 2021 Call

Grant requested per region

- Breakdown by region chosen to work with
- Number of partners per project
- Average grant requested
- Type of organisations





Allocated budget vs Grant requested per region



COUNTRIES OF THE COORDINATOR



European Commission



NUMBER OF PARTNERS PER PROJECT







AVERAGE GRANT REQUESTED















Key Considerations

Timetable

Budget

Types of partners











Budget available 6 301 364 Mio €



What is the role of participating organisations

- **Coordinator:** A participating organisation that submits the project proposal on behalf of all the partners
- **Full partners:** Participating organisations which contribute actively to the accomplishment of the Erasmus+ Virtual Exchanges. They are listed in the financial table (budget).
- Associated partners: Organisations which contribute to the activities of the Erasmus+ Virtual Exchange but for contractual management reasons aspects are not considered to be beneficiaries. They do not receive any funding from the Programme (they do not have the right to charge any costs). They are not included in any way in the financial budget table







Admissibility

Deadline	20 September, 2022 - 17:00:00 (Brussels time)
How to apply	Funding and tenders opportunities portal
	Call ID: ERASMUS-EDU-2022-VIRT-EXCH
	All parts of the application forms must be complete





4 Evaluation Criteria

- Eligibility criteria
- Exclusion criteria
- Selection criteria
- Award criteria





Eligibility Criteria





Eligibility Criteria: Duration

 Duration: normally 3 years - 36 months when no extension is granted





Eligibility Criteria: Which regions of the world can participate?

- EU Member States + Erasmus+ third countries associated to the programme (EU Member States + Iceland, Norway, Liechtenstein, North Macedonia, Serbia and Turkey). Organisations from these countries can be coordinator
- Western Balkans
- Eastern Neighbourhood
- Southern Mediterranean
- Territory of Russia as recognised by international law
- Sub-Saharan Africa

Nb. A list of the countries in these regions can be found in the Call text (Programme Guide)



Eligibility Criteria: Who can apply as coordinator?



- Public or private organisations active in the field of higher education or youth (non-formal education) in EU and 3rd countries associated to the programme
- Higher education institutions, associations or organisations of higher education institutions, as well as legally recognised national or international rector, teacher or student organisations, established in EU and 3rd countries associated to the programme



Eligibility Criteria: Who can participate as partner?



Commission

Participating organisations may be established either in an EU Member State or third country associated to the Programme or in an eligible third country not associated to the programme.

Participating organisations may fall under the following categories:

- Youth organisations
- Higher education institutions, associations or organisations of higher education institutions, as well as legally recognised national or international rector, teacher or student organisations
- Vectors of change in the education system (university managers, international departments, deans, quality agencies, etc.); public or private organisations active in the fields of higher education or youth and established in an EU Member State or third country associated to the Programme or in one of the eligible third countries not associated to the Programme

Other entities may participate in other consortium roles, such as associated partners, subcontractors, third parties giving in-kind contributions, etc. Affiliated entities are not eligible for funding

Eligibility Criteria: Eligible activities



1. Online facilitated discussions between young people of youth organisations based in different countries, as part of youth projects

2. Training of youth workers to develop a virtual exchange project with colleagues in another country

3. Online facilitated discussions between students of Higher Education institutions based in different countries, as part of higher education degrees

4. Training for university professors/staff willing to develop a virtual exchange project with colleagues from other countries

5. Interactive open online courses including traditional course materials such as filmed lectures, readings and problem-sets (MOOCs)



Eligibility Criteria: Compulsory partnership composition



A minimum of 2 higher education institution and/or youth organisations from 2 or more different EU and/or 3rd countries associated to the programme

and

A minimum of 2 higher education institutions and/or youth organisations from 2 or more eligible 3rd countries not associated to the programme within the same Erasmus+ region (except for the Russia region)

The number of organisations from the EU and 3rd countries associated to the programme may not be higher than the number of organisations from 3rd countries **not** associated to the programme



Exclusion Criteria





Exclusion criteria

• Exclusion situations linked to legal issues

Fields to tick in application form + declaration on honour if selected







Selection Criteria





Selection criteria



OPERATIONAL CAPACITY

Appropriate professional competencies and qualifications

Insert in Part B of application form:

- Description of relevant skills and experience of project staff (no CVs please)
- List of previous and running projects

FINANCIAL CAPACITY Stable and sufficient sources of funding

Provide **upon request** and upload in Funding and Tender Opportunities portal:

- Profit and loss account
- Balance sheet
- Other documents if requested

Does not apply to public organisations



Award Criteria







Award criteria

Criteria	Maximum points	Threshold			
Relevance of the project	30	15			
Quality of project design and implementation	20	10			
Quality of project consortium and cooperation arrangements	20	10			
Impact	30	15			
Minimum total score 60 points (out of 100 points in total)					



Award criteria: Relevance



- **Relevance**: relevant to the objectives of the Call
- **Consistency**: different parts of the application consistent with each other
- Scaling up: potential for scaling up its practice(s) at different levels (e.g. local, regional, national, EU) and its transferability to different sectors
- European added value: the project brings added value at EU level, through results that would not be achieved at country level alone



Award criteria - Quality of project design and implementation

- **Strategic plan**: A clear strategy based on feasibility analysis & identifies the necessary activities for testing, adapting, and/or scaling up the virtual exchange practice(s)
- **Needs**: Needs of different partners identified and taken into account & how these different needs will be managed
- **Structure**: The work programme is clear and intelligible and covers all project phases. Indicators of achievement and means of verification have been clearly defined for each outcome
- **Management**: The project management plan is sound, with adequate resources allocated to the different tasks. Budget shows cost effectiveness and value for money
- Evaluation: Measures for monitoring deliverables (i.e. Indicators of achievement and means of verification) Learning outcomes are evaluated and recognised

European Commission

Award criteria - Quality of the partnership and the cooperation arrangements



- Configuration:consortium has all the necessary skills, expertise and experience in the areas covered by the project. Adequate allocation of time and input among the partners is ensured
- Commitment: Each participating organisation demonstrates full involvement
- Cooperation: Effective mechanisms are proposed to ensure coordination, decision-making and communication between the participating organisations, stakeholders and any other relevant party

Award criteria - Impact



- **Dissemination**: A clear awareness raising, dissemination and communication strategy, plans for making any produced materials accessible through open license
- Exploitation: the selected virtual exchange approach(es) can be successfully disseminated and/or scaled up, that it creates a wider impact and influences systemic change
- Impact: measures are in place to ensure that the impact can be achieved and evaluated
- Sustainability: appropriate measures and resources to ensure that the results and benefits can be sustained



Award Criteria: Tips



- Be concrete in the description of your objectives and activities
- Pay attention to the integration of activities and consistency with the objectives
- Pay equal attention to all award criteria. Scoring below the threshold in one award criteria makes the project automatically ineligible
- Include quality indicators
- Impact often described in terms of participation in events rather than in terms of changes in knowledge, behaviour or skills



In Funding and tenders opportunities portal

5 Application forms

• eForm (Part A) –

General information entered by the participants – generated by the IT system



- Part B Technical description and estimated budget of the proposal
- Part C Short annex on type of organisation

Construction of the state of the state of the	
OVER PAGE	
t B of the Application Form must be di I re-uploaded as PDF in the system.	ownloaded from the Portal Submission System, completed and then assembl
	is set out in the Cali document Programme Guide (for open calls: published on t and criteria; they explain how the application will be evaluated
PROJECT	×C
Project name:	(project title)
Project acronym:	[acronym]
Coordinator contact:	(name NAME). (organisation name)
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B)	;0~;
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) OVER PAGE	
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) COVER PAGE	
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) COVER PAGE ROJECT SUMMARY RELEVANCE	Č.
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) COVER PAGE	. <u>`</u>
MINSTRATIVE FORM 5 (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) CVURE PAGE ROLLECT SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.1 Background and general objective 1.2 Needs analysis and specific objective	. <u>`</u>
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) OVER PAGE ROJECT SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.1 Background and general objective 1.2 Needs anniysis and specTr object 1.3 Complementarity with other according OWALTY	ntvers
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) OVER PAGE ROJECT SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.1 Beckground and general objective 1.2 Needs analysis and specific objective 1.3 Complementary with other actor	ntvers
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHINCAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) SOVER PAGE RELET SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.2 Beckground and general objectiv 1.2 Beckground and general objectiv 2.3 IPROJECT DESIGN AND AND AND AND 2.1 IPROJECT DESIGN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	n tra na rokunton — European astrad vatur ingriða roku
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) CHINCAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) SOVER PAGE RELET SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.2 Beckground and general objectiv 1.2 Beckground and general objectiv 2.3 IPROJECT DESIGN AND AND AND AND 2.1 IPROJECT DESIGN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	er otvern Ground Andrew Andrew State
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) -HINCAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) -OVER PAGE ROLECT SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.1 Background and general objectiv 1.2 Complementary with other actor OGALITY 2.1 PROJECT DESIGN AND AND 2.1 2 Project management, Cally of 2.1 3 Orgotet management, Cally of 3.1 3 Orgotet management, C	the main of the motor — European added value agent TON aurones and monitoring and evaluation strategy
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) -CHINCAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) -OVER PAGE ROUED SUMMARY REEVANCE IS EVANCE I 2 Needs analysis and specific objection I 2 Needs I I 2 Needs I I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I 2 Needs I	the main of the motor — European added value agent TON aurones and monitoring and evaluation strategy
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) —HINCAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) —OVER PAGE ROLECT SUMMARY RELEVANCE 1.1 Background and general objectiv 1.2 Complementary with other actor OUALITY 2.1 PROJECT DESIGN AND AUS PA 2.1 2 Roject management Castly on 2.1.3 Orgotet and methodogon 2.1.2 Orgotet and methodogon 2.1.2 Orgotet and methodogon 2.1.3 Orgotet and parts of thances 2.1.4 Orgotet and methodogon 2.1.5 Orgotet and parts of thances 2.1.5 Stak methodogenetic	the and how notion — European added value Signik Tron auroce and monitoring and evaluation strategy menagement
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) —INECAL DESCRIPTION (PART B) —OVER PAGE INCLUES DUMARY INCLUES	the and how notion — European added value Signik Tron auroce and monitoring and evaluation strategy menagement
MINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) DIRECTL DESCRIPTION FAAT B) DIRECTL DESCRIPTION FAAT B) DIRECTL DIRECTL DIRECTL DIRECTL RELEARDER 12 Notes analyse and specific diges 21 Opportunity with other actor 21 Opportunity with other actor 21 Opportunity of the specific directly of the 21 Opportunity of the specific directly of the 22 Notes for the specific directly of the specific directly of the 22 Notes for the specific directly of the specific directly of the 22 Notes for the specific directly of the specific directly of the 22 Notes for the specific directly of the sp	with of Monitor — European added value grift TON auroce and monitoring and evaluation strategy immagement TON ARRANGEMENTS
MINISTRY TO LEONS OF APAT 10, DIVERSE LISEOPTION (PARTIN) COVER PAGE RELEASED TO LEON (PARTIN) RELEASED TO LEON APAT RELEASED TO LEON APAT 12 Noted and priorital objective 13 Option and priorital objective 14 Option and priorital objective 15 Option an	n man of references — European edded value gardin cost survices and montoring and evaluation strategy menagement TON ARRANGEMENTS cells manuage
MINISTRATICE CONS 5 (NAT Q., OWERAL GEORDYTON (NAT B), COVER NAE (SECONTON (NAT B), COVER NAE (SECONTON (NAT B), RELEARCH (SECONTON (NAT B), RELEARCH (SECONTON (NAT B), RELEARCH (SECONTON (NAT B), 21 Charles (Seconton (SECONTON (NAT B), 21 Charles (Seconton (SECONTON (NAT B), 21 Charles (Seconton	with of Monitor — European added value grift TON auroce and monitoring and evaluation strategy immagement TON ARRANGEMENTS
ANNERTAL TUPE FORMS (PART A) DIRECTAL TUPE FORMS (PART A) CONTRIPUED CONTRIPUED CONTRIPUED RELEARNES RELEARNES 12 Needs analysis and specific depices CONTRIPUED 21 Direct management 21 Direct management 21 Direct management 21 Direct management 21 Direct management 21 Direct management 21 Direct management 22 Direct management 23 Direct management 24 Direct management 20 Dir	the end of the state of the sta
1.2 Neck analysis and specific deter- 1.3 Complementarity in the diverse of the 2 (MULTY) - 2.1 PROJECT DESIGN AND, MAR JR 2.1.1 Concept and methodskory 2.1.2 Reject management, and the fore- 1.3 Reject management and the 2.1.5 Reisk management and 2.1.5 Reisk management and 2.2 ROMONG methodskory 2.2 ROMONG method	the end of the state of the sta
AMARTINE FORMS (PART A) CONSTRATE CONTROL FORMS (PART A) CONSTRATE CONTROL FORMS (PART A) CONSTRATE CONTROL FORMS (PART A) CONSTRATE (PART A) RELEARNES III Becigrund and general dejection III Becigrund and general dejection IIII Becigrund and general dejection IIII Becigrund and general dejection IIII Becigrund and general dejection IIII Becigrund IIII Becigrund IIII Becigrund AND (Section A) IIII Becigrund A) IIII Derroregt and the Original ADD IIII Derroregt and IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	the end of the state of the sta

ents meetings and mobil

Secul ID Cut for Proceed EP-210805384 ERASMUS-EDU-2021-VI				Type of Anton DRASMUS-RVG					
pe of Organisations									
Namber	Same	PC Number (F sociable)	Type of organisation	Country where the organization is based	Entrus-Tepos	Number of young pericipants this only this organisation will engage in wintual exchanges	Countries of the physical location of the participants of the vistual exchanges	Number of facilitation indenstrue hosts University essentant Antures, professors that only the organisation will engage in entail exchanges	
	Build Consulting SCS	95644445	Associations of Higher Education Institutions	Angulla	Russian Federation	***	Andorra	4.225	>
	Test Canalia-Valeria	913842918	Associations of Higher Education Institutions	Bahamas	Russian Federation	2,404	Bahamas	2,434	>
	Anno UTD - text company	923131822	Associations of Higher Education Institutions	Bahamas	Russian Federation	242	Auttalia	342	>
	Charalampoe Xenoglassia Coren, s	133341155	Associations of Rectors of Higher Education Institutions/ Higher Education Institution Rector Conferences	Angulla	Russian Federation	342,424	Australia	0.01	>
	JANITOM Tomasz Janisz	952853993	Student associations	Austria	Sub-Sahara Africa	2,324	Angola	42.424	5



Application form: Part A

eForm (Part A)

- General information Data on the participants, abstract, legal declarations and programme priorities.
- Participants list of participating organisations, organisational data and contact persons
- Budget requested grant



Application form: Part B

Part B – Technical Description

- Template to be downloaded by the applicant in the submission system.
- Completed form to be uploaded in pdf format.
- Includes sections corresponding to the 4 award criteria, a section on Work Packages and the estimated budget per work package
- No CVs required but description of profiles and expertise of staff is

TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B)

COVER PAGE

Part B of the Application Form must be downloaded from the Portal Submission System, completed and then as sembled and re-uploaded as PDF in the system.

Note: Please read carefully the conditions set out in the Call document Programme Guide (for open calls: published on the Portal). Pay particular attention to the award criteria; they explain how the application will be evaluated.

PROJECT		xV
Project name:	[project title]	7
Project acronym:	[acronym]	
Coordinator contact:	[name NAME]. [organisation name]	
ABLE OF CONTENTS	COL	
DMINISTRATIVE FORMS (PART A) ECHNICAL DESCRIPTION (PART B)		
COVER PAGE	A	***************************************
PROJECT SUMMARY		
1.1 Background and general objectiv		
1.2 Needs analysis and specific obje		
	ns and innovation — European added value	
	MENTATION	
2.1.1 Concept and methodology		
	surance and monitoring and evaluation strategy	
	k	
	I management	
	TION ARRANGEMENTS	
	iecision-making	
3.1 Impact and ambition		8
	id visibility	
	TIM ING AND SUBCONT RACTING	
4.1 Work plan		
4.2 Work packages and activities		
Work Package 1		
Work Package		
Overview of Work Packages (n/	a for Lump Sum Grants)	
Events meetings and mobility		
4.3 Timetable		





Application form: Part C

Specific Priorities

Please select the main priority (only one) this application addresses:

- Encouraging intercultural dialogue with third countries not associated to the programme and increasing tolerance through online people-to-people interactions, building on digital, youth-friendly technologies
- Promoting various types of virtual exchanges as a complement to Erasmus+ physical mobility, allowing more young people to benefit from intercultural and international experience
- Enhancing critical thinking and media literacy, particularly in the use of internet and social media, such as to counter discrimination indoctrination, polarization and violent radicalisation
- Sostering the digital and soft skills development of students, young people and youth workers , including the practice of foreign languages and teamwork, notably to enhance employability;
- Promoting citizenship and the common values of freedom, tolerance and non-discrimination through education;
- Strengthening the youth dimension in the relations of the EU with third countries

Main activity of your project

- Online-facilitated discussions between young people of youth organisations based in different countries, as part of youth projects. They could include role playing simulations. Participating organisations must arrange virtual exchanges for people in the 13-30 authorisation from their parents or those acting on their behalf.
- Training for youth workers willing to develop a virtual exchange project with colleagues from other countries.
- Online facilitated discussions between students of Higher Education institutions based in different countries, as part of higher education degrees.
- Training for university professors/staff willing to develop a virtual exchange project with colleagues from other countries.
- Interactive open online courses including traditional course materials such as filmed lectures, readings, and problem sets (like the well-known MOOCs, Massive Open Online Courses, but putting an emphasis on interactive user forums in small groups to support workers).

Other(s) (please specify)

Education Level

- Higher education
- Youth
- School education
- Adult education
- Vocational training





Application form: Part C

- A webpage that you open in FTOP and filled in online
- Must be filled in accurately as it will be used for statistics
- All mandatory fields must be filled in
- Nb. Countries in which activities will take place must be the same as the countries of origin of the partner organisations
- Don't forget to 'save' information



Tips



Commission

- The purpose of a project is to bring about change. Your proposal needs to tell us what change you intend to bring about, how you will measure the change and how you will be able to demonstrate if you have achieved this change
- It is not required to provide a log frame matrix in annex but it's a useful tool for you to synthesise your project concept before you start writing the proposal. It is also useful for your project management
- All partners in third countries not associated to the programme must be legally established entities that can receive funds via bank accounts. Choose your partners wisely
- Make sure you meet the partnership composition requirements
- Make sure your partners have all the necessary documentation to obtain a PIC number if selected

Tips

- There are **two 'topics'** for this Call. Make sure you fill in the correct one for the regions your partners are based in:
 - ***** ERASMUS-EDU-2022-VIRT-EXCH-IPA = EU Programme Countries + Western Balkans Region
 - ERASMUS-EDU-2022-VIRT-EXCH-NDICI =

EU Programme Countries + Southern Mediterranean Region

or

EU Programme Countries + Eastern Neighbourhood Region

or

EU Programme Countries + Russia (Territory of Russia as recognised by International Law)

or

EU Programme Countries + Sub-Saharan Africa



Tips



- A project can have participants from both the youth and/or higher education sector
- The exchanges are virtual but participants must be based in the country of origin of the partner organisation
- We have run a virtual exchange pilot project for the last three years. Have a read of the final impact report for inspiration but do not forget to innovate
- Please do not wait until the last day to submit





Thank you!



© European Union 2021

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

